

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/607,605	06	5/30/2000	Kia Silverbrook	NPA065US	8541	
24011	7590	12/13/2004		EXAM	INER	
SILVERBRO		SEARCH PTY	LTD	FILIPCZYK, MARCIN R		
BALMAIN,	2041	.1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1
AUSTRALIA				2161		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
•		09/607,605	SILVERBROOK ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Marc R Filipczyk	2161						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/13 and RCE 9/19 both of 2004.								
2a)□	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-114 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7 and 30-33 is/are value (s) 1-3,8-29 and 34-45 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 79-114 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 46-78 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	withdrawn from consideration.							
Applicati	on Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2000 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage						
Attachment	• •	-							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔯 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Action is responsive to Applicant's RCE request of September 9, 2004 and amendment filed July 13, 2004.

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 9, 2004 has been entered. Claims 4-7 and 30-33 remain cancelled and claims 1-3, 8-29 and 34-114 remain pending.

#### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Objections

Claims 46-78 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claims 46 and 66, the segment, "form including <u>printed coded data</u> indicative of an identity of the form and of at least one <u>location on</u> the form" is not descriptive. The coded

data should be further defined in the claim to clarify the preamble. Examiner suggests adding "configured as tags" to coded data in the preambles as in claim 1, respectively.

Regarding claims 47-65 and 67-78 depend from claims 46 and 66 respectively and therefore contain the same informalities as those claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 79-92, 96-99, 101-110, 112 and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Escallon</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157) in view of <u>Suda et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,157,465).

Regarding claims 101-103, 109 and 113, <u>Escallon</u> discloses a method and system for enabling access to travel services, the system including (see title) a form containing information relating to a travel service transaction, the form including coded data indicative of an identity of the form and of at least one reference point of the form, the method including: (fig. 1, 140 and, col. 5, lines 49-54, <u>Escallon</u>)

(Note: reference point of a form refers to any location on the form)

a computer system for receiving indicating data (fig. 1, block 200, <u>Escallon</u>) from a sensing device (fig. 1, 100) for identifying at least one parameter relating to the travel service transaction, the indicating data being indicative of the identity of the form (fig. 1, 110), the sensing device sensing the indicative data using at least some of the coded data (fig. 1, 110, <u>Escallon</u>); and

identifying in the computer system and from the indicating data, at least one parameter relating to the travel service transaction (fig. 1, block 142 <u>Escallon</u>), but does not teach the sensing device is a handheld device including a marking nib.

However, <u>Suda</u> discloses a system for transferring jobs between processing units for which he uses an (e-pen) marking device (fig. 6, 605, <u>Suda</u>). Hence, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used an e-pen in <u>Escallon</u> system as used in <u>Suda</u> system to enter data into forms without using a keyboard. The motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to use an e-pen would have been the convenience and flexibility of entering data to fill out forms without the use of a keyboard.

Regarding claim 104, <u>Escallon/Suda</u> disclose at least one parameter of the travel service transaction is selected from a group comprising an action parameter of the travel services transaction (fig. 3, blocks 301, 320, 330, <u>Escallon</u>).

(Note: fulfilling transaction requests)

Regarding claims 105-108, <u>Escallon/Suda</u> disclose multiple parameters of travel service transactions (see col. 3, lines 1-14, <u>Escallon</u>).

Regarding claim 110, Escallon/Suda disclose all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claim 27 including improvements over more traditional methods such as the use of printed media (see col. 1, lines 39-47, Escallon).

Regarding claim 112, Escallon/Suda disclose a database for keeping a retrievable record of each form generated, each form being retrievable by using its identity as included in its coded data (col. 4, lines 26-40, Escallon).

Regarding method claims 79-92 and 96-99 contain same subject matter as the system claims respectively and therefore are rejected on the same basis under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as the claims above in combination over Escallon (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157) in view of Suda et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,157,465).

Claims 93-95, 100, 111 and 114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Escallon (U.S. Patent No. 5,799,157) in view of Suda et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,157,465) further in view of Wilz Sr. et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,992,752).

Regarding claims 93-95, 100, 111 and 114, Escallon/Suda disclose all of the claimed subject matter as discussed above with respect to claims 1, 27, 46, 66, 79, 101 and 114, but do not expressly teach printing forms.

Application/Control Number: 09/607,605 Page 6

Art Unit: 2161

However, Wilz discloses an internet based system for enabling information-related transactions over the internet (title, Wilz) in which printing of coded transactions takes place (fig. 1, item 39, Wilz). Hence, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to print part coded forms in Escallon/Suda system as done in

Wilz by using a printer in conjunction with a computer. One of ordinary skill in the art would

have been motivated to print forms to retain a physical copy of a transaction for record.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 1, 27, 46 and 66, the prior art of record or that encountered in searching for the

invention, fails to disclose or suggest a paper form including coded data configured as tags,

indicative of an identity of the form and of at least one location on the form where by a position

of a hand held sensing device relative to the form is determined, as claimed in addition to the

other claim provisions.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 8-26, 28, 29, 34-45, 47-65 and 67-77 depend from claims 1, 27, 46

and 66 respectively, and therefore also indicate allowable subject matter.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on July 13, 2004 have been fully considered. The

Applicant's arguments of the 7/13/04 response and Examiners answers are listed below.

Argument 1: (page 23)

Application/Control Number: 09/607,605 Page 7

Art Unit: 2161

Claim objections 1-3, 8-29 and 34-45 have been overcome by amending the claims.

Examiner agrees. Claim objections are withdrawn.

Argument 2: (page 24)

Claim 79 teaches a way to identify a position of the sensing device.

Examiner disagrees. Independent claim 79 does not contain the disputed feature, therefore prior art rejection for claim 79 and claims with relevant subject matter of claim 79 remain rejected. See rejection above.

With respect to all the pending claims 1-3, 8-29 and 34-114, no other arguments are presented.

#### Conclusion

To expedite the process of examination Examiner requests that all future correspondences in regard to overcoming prior art rejections or other issues (e.g. 35 U.S.C. 112, objections and the like) set forth by the Examiner that Applicants provide and link to the most specific page and line numbers of the disclosure where the best support is found (see 35 U.S.C. 132).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 5,991,469 of Johnson et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc R Filipczyk whose telephone number is (571) 272-4019. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/607,605

Art Unit: 2161

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MF

December 8, 2004

SAFET METIANIC

ERVISORY

MINER

Page 8

ECHNOLOGIC CENTER 2100